	TATES DISTRICT COURT CT OF MASSACHUSETTS	IN GLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	2006 MAR 22 A 10: 57
V.)) CR-04-30	0034-DISTRICT COURT
MICHAEL CROOKER)	

DEFENDANT'S FIRST MOTION IN LIMINE

The court has ruled on Pages 8 and 9 of its Memorandum Re:

Defendant's Motion to Reconsider/For Clarification of Defendant's

Motion to Dismiss:

The question before the jury when this case goes to trial will be whether the defendant knew that this particular device had the capacity to muffle the report of a firearm when he transported it. (emphasis in original)

Therefore the defendant moves in <u>limine</u> to prevent any and all testimony or evidence that relates to any firearm or firearm device other than the <u>particular device</u> charged in this case, or any testimony or evidence concerning defendant's generalized knowledge of firearms or firearm devices other than the <u>particular device</u> in this case.

Such testimony or evidence would not be relevant and would be prejudicial. It would not be relevant unless it related directly to the actual device in this case allegedly

transported by Crooker. It would be prejudicial because Crooker is a former felon. Former felon status is an element of the current offense. Also most persons know that such status makes it unlawful to possess firearms in general.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael Alan Crooker, pro se CCI-MacDougall

Muharl Han Cool

1153 East Street South Suffield, CT 06080

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I have this date mailed copies of the foregoing to the following persons: Kevin O'Regan, AUSA, U.S. Attorneys, 1550 Main Street, Springfield, MA 01103 and Vincent Bongiorni, Attorney, 95 State Street, Suite 309, Springfield, MA 01103.

Dated: March 21, 2006

s1 Muhad Alan Cive